

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/604,525	Applicant(s) PORTILLO ET AL.	
	Examiner Richard Fults	Art Unit 3628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Richard Fults *R. Fults* (3) _____
 (2) John Harris *John R. Harris* (4) _____

Date of Interview: 8/26/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant showed a sketch of the system.

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed: yes

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Reviewed essence of application features and how the invention works. Primary feature seems to be pre confirmation of exact amount due, at a remote location. Applicant plans to file an amendment.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

[Signature]
 Examiner's signature, if required